CURRENT ALGEBRA, BARYONS, AND QUARK CONFINEMENT

Edward WITTEN*

Joseph Henry Laboratories, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

Received 4 March 1983

It is shown that ordinary baryons can be understood as solitons in current algebra effective lagrangians. The formation of color flux tubes can also be seen in current algebra, under certain conditions.

The idea that in some sense the ordinary proton and neutron might be solitons in a non-linear sigma model has a long history. The first suggestion was made by Skyrme more than twenty years ago [1]. Finkelstein and Rubinstein showed that such objects could in principle be fermions [2], in a paper that probably represented the first use of what would now be called θ vacua in quantum field theory. A gauge invariant version was attempted by Faddeev [3]. Some relevant miracles are known to occur in two space-time dimensions [4]; there also exists a different mechanism by which solitons can be fermions [4].

It is known that in the large-N limit of quantum chromodynamics [5] meson interactions are governed by the tree approximation to an effective local field theory of mesons. Several years ago, it was pointed out [6] that baryons behave as if they were solitons in the effective large-N meson field theory. However, it was not clear in exactly what sense the baryons actually *are* solitons.

The first relevant papers mainly motivated by attempts to understand implications of QCD current algebra were recent papers by Balachandran et al. [7] and by Boguta [8].

We will always denote the number of colors as N and the number of light flavors as n. For definiteness we first consider the usual case n = 3. Nothing changes for n > 3. Some modifications for n < 3 are pointed out later. Except where stated otherwise, we discuss standard current algebra with global $SU(n) \times SU(n)$ spontaneously broken to diagonal SU(n), presumably as a result of an underlying SU(N)gauge interaction.

^{*} Supported in part by NSF Grant PHY80-19754.

Standard current algebra can be described by a field U(x) which (for each space-time point x) is a point in the SU(3) manifold. Ignoring quark bare masses, this field is governed by an effective action of the form

$$I = -\frac{1}{16} F_{\pi}^2 \int d^4 x \operatorname{Tr} \partial_{\mu} U \partial_{\mu} U^{-1} + N\Gamma + \text{higher order terms}.$$
(1)

Here Γ is the Wess-Zumino term [9] which cannot be written as the integral of a manifestly SU(3)×SU(3) invariant density, and $F_{\pi} \approx 190$ Mev. In quantum field theory the coefficient of Γ must a priori be an integer [10], and indeed we will see that the quantization of the soliton excitations of (1) is inconsistent (they obey neither bose nor fermi statistics) unless N is an integer.

Any finite energy configuration U(x, y, z) must approach a constant at spatial infinity. This being so, any such configuration represents an element in the third homotopy group $\pi_3(SU(3))$. Since $\pi_3(SU(3)) \approx Z$, there are soliton excitations, and they obey an additive conservation law. Actually, higher-order terms in (1) are needed to stabilize the soliton solutions and prevent them from shrinking to zero size. We will see that such higher-order terms (which could be measured in principle by studying meson processes) must be present in the large-N limit of QCD and are related to the bag radius. Our remarks will not depend on the details of the higher-order terms.

A technical remark is in order. To study solitons, it is convenient to work with a euclidean space-time M of topology $S^3 \times S^1$. Here S^3 represents the spatial variables, and S^1 is a compactified euclidean time coordinate. A given non-linear sigma model field U(x) defines a mapping of M into SU(3). We may think of M as the boundary of a five-dimensional manifold Q with topology $S^3 \times D$, D being a two-dimensional disc. Using the fact that $\pi_1(SU(3)) = \pi_4(SU(3)) = 0$, it can be shown that the mapping of M into SU(3) defined by U(x) can be extended to a mapping from Q into SU(3). Then as in ref. [10] the functional Γ is defined by $\Gamma = \int_Q \omega$, where ω is the fifth-rank antisymmetric tensor on the SU(3) manifold defined in ref. [10]. By analogy with the discussion in ref. [10], Γ is well-defined modulo 2π . (It is essential here that because $\pi_2(SU(3)) = 0$, the five-dimensional homology classes in H₅(SU(3)) that can be represented by cycles with topology S³ × S² are precisely those that can be represented by cycles with topology S⁵. There are closed five-surfaces S in SU(3) such that $\int_S \omega$ is an odd multiple of π , but they do not arise if space-time has topology S³ × S¹ and Q is taken to be S³ × D.)

Now let us discuss the quantum numbers of the current algebra soliton. First, let us calculate its baryon number (which was first demonstrated to be non-zero in ref. [7], where, however, different assumptions were made from those we will follow). In previous work [10] it was shown that the baryon-number current has an anomalous piece, related to the $N \Gamma$ term in eq. (1). If the baryon number of a quark is 1/N, so that an ordinary baryon made from N quarks has baryon number 1, then the anomalous piece in the baryon number current B_{μ} was shown to be

$$B_{\mu} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}}{24\pi^2} \operatorname{Tr} \left(U^{-1} \partial_{\nu} U \right) \left(U^{-1} \partial_{\alpha} U \right) \left(U^{-1} \partial_{\beta} U \right).$$
(2)

So the baryon number of a configuration is

$$B = \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, B_0 = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int \mathrm{d}^3 x \, \epsilon^{ijk} \, \mathrm{Tr} \big(U^{-1} \, \partial_i U \big) \big(U^{-1} \, \partial_j U \big) \big(U^{-1} \, \partial_k U \big) \,. \tag{3}$$

The right-hand side of eq. (24) can be recognized as the properly normalized integral expression for the winding number in $\pi_3(SU(3))$. In a soliton field the right-hand side of (3) equals one, so the soliton has baryon number one; it is a baryon. (In ref. [7] the baryon number of the soliton was computed using methods of Goldstone and Wilczek [11]. The result that the soliton has baryon number one would emerge in this framework if the elementary fermions are taken to be quarks.)

Now let us determine whether the soliton is a boson or a fermion. To this end, we compare the amplitude for two processes. In one process, a soliton sits at rest for a long time T. The amplitude is $\exp(-iMT)$, M being the soliton energy. In the second process, the soliton is adiabatically rotated through a 2π angle in the course of a long time T. The usual term in the lagrangian $L_0 = \frac{1}{16} F_{\pi}^2 \operatorname{Tr} \partial_{\mu} U \partial_{\mu} U^{-1}$ does not distinguish between the two processes, because the only piece in L_0 that contains time derivatives is quadratic in time derivatives, and the integral $\int dt \operatorname{Tr}(\partial U/\partial t)(\partial U^{-1}/\partial t)$ vanishes in the limit of an adiabatic process. However, the anomalous term Γ is linear in time derivatives, and distinguishes between a soliton that sits at rest and a soliton that is adiabatically rotated. For a soliton at rest, $\Gamma = 0$. For a soliton that is adiabatically rotated through a 2π angle, a slightly laborious calculation explained at the end of this paper shows that $\Gamma = \pi$. So for a soliton that is adiabatically rotated by a 2π angle, the amplitude is not $\exp(-iMT)$ but $\exp(-iMT)\exp(iN\pi) = (-1)^N \exp(-iMT)$.

The factor $(-1)^N$ means that for odd N the soliton is a fermion; for even N it is a boson. This is uncannily reminiscent of the fact that an ordinary baryon contains N quarks and is a boson or a fermion depending on whether N is even or odd.

These results are unchanged if there are more than three light flavors of quarks. How do they hold up if there are only two light flavors? The field U(x) is then an element of SU(2). Because $\pi_3(SU(2)) = Z$, there are still solitons. The baryon-number current has the same anomalous piece, and the soliton still has baryon number one. But in SU(2) current algebra, there is no Γ term, so how can we see that the soliton can be a fermion?

The answer was given long ago [2]. Although $\pi_4(SU(3)) = 0$, $\pi_4(SU(2)) = Z_2$. With suitably compactified space-time, there are thus two topological classes of maps from space-time to SU(2). In the SU(2) non-linear sigma model, there are hence two

" θ -vacua": fields that represent the non-trivial class in $\pi_4(SU(2))$ may be weighted with a sign +1 or -1. An explicit field U(x, y, z, t) which goes to 1 at space-time infinity and represents the non-trivial class in $\pi_4(SU(2))$ can (fig. 1) be described as follows (a variant of this description figures in recent work by Goldstone [12]). Start at $t \to -\infty$ with a constant, U = 1; moving forward in time, gradually create a soliton-anti-soliton pair and separate them; rotate the soliton through a 2π angle without touching the anti-soliton; bring together the soliton and anti-soliton and annihilate them. Weighting this field with a factor of -1, while a configuration without the 2π rotation of the soliton as a fermion. Thus, internally to $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ current algebra, one sees that the soliton can be a fermion. In $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ current algebra one finds the stronger result that the soliton *must* be a fermion if and only if N is odd.

Our results so far are consistent with the idea that quantization of the current algebra soliton describes ordinary nucleons. However, we have not established this. Perhaps there are ordinary baryons and exotic, topologically excited solitonic baryons. However, certain results will now be described which seem to directly show that the ordinary nucleons are the ground state of the soliton.

For simplicity, we will focus now on the case of only two flavors. Soliton states can be labeled by their spin and isospin quantum numbers, which we will call J and I, respectively. We will determine semiclassically what values of I and J are expected for solitons. A semiclassical description of current algebra solitons will be accurate quantitatively only in the limit of large N. (Since F_{π}^2 is proportional to N, N enters the effective lagrangian (1) as an overall multiplicative factor. Hence, N plays the role usually played by $1/\hbar$.) So we will check the results we find for solitons by comparing to the expected quantum numbers of baryons in the large-N limit.

Let us first determine the expected baryon quantum numbers. We make the usual assumption that the multi-quark wave function is symmetric in space and antisymmetric in color, and hence must have complete symmetry in spin and isospin. The spin-isospin group is $SU(2) \times SU(2) \sim O(4)$. A quark transforms as $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$; this is the



Fig. 1. A soliton-antisoliton pair is created from the vacuum; the soliton is rotated by a 2π angle; the pair is then annihilated. This represents the non-trivial homotopy class in $\pi_4(SU(2))$.

vector representation of O(4). We may represent a quark as ϕ_i , where i = 1...4 is a combined spin-isospin index labeling the O(4) four-vector.

We must form symmetric combinations of N vectors ϕ_i . As is well known, there is a quadratic invariant $\phi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 \phi_i^2$. One can also form symmetric traceless tensors of any rank $A_{i_1...i_p}^{(p)} = (\phi_{i_1}\phi_{i_2}...\phi_{i_p} - \text{trace terms})$; this transforms as $(\frac{1}{2}p, \frac{1}{2}p)$ under SU(2)×SU(2). The general symmetric expression that we can make from N quarks is $(\phi^2)^k A_{i_1...i_{N-2k}}^{(N-2k)}$, where $0 \le k \le \frac{1}{2}N$. So the values of I and J that are possible are the following:

N even,
$$I = J = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...,$$

N odd, $I = J = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2},$ (4)

For instance, in nature we have N = 3. The first two terms in the sequence indicated above are the nucleon, of $I = J = \frac{1}{2}$, and the delta, of $I = J = \frac{3}{2}$. If the number of colors were five or more, we would expect to see more terms in this series. Moreover, simple considerations involving color magnetic forces suggest that, as for N = 3, the mass of the baryons in this sequence is always an increasing function of I or J.

Now let us compare to what is expected in the soliton picture. (This question has been treated previously in ref. [7].) We do not know the effective action of which the soliton is a minimum, because we do not know what non-minimal terms must be added to eq. (1). We will make the simple assumption that the soliton field has the maximum possible symmetry. The soliton field cannot be invariant under I or J (or any component thereof), but it can be invariant under a diagonal subgroup I + J. This corresponds to an ansatz $U(x) = \exp[iF(r)]\mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{x}$, where F(r) = 0 at r = 0 and $F(r) \to \pi$ as $r \to \infty$.

Quantization of such a soliton is very similar to quantization of an isotropic rigid rotor. The hamiltonian of an isotropic rotor is invariant under an $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ group consisting of the rotations of body fixed and space fixed coordinates, respectively. We will refer to these symmetries as I and J, respectively. A given configuration of the rotor is invariant under a diagonal subgroup of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$. This is just analogous to our solitons, assuming the classical soliton solution is invariant under I + J.

The quantization of the isotropic rigid rotor is well known. If the rotor is quantized as a boson, it has I = J = 0, 1, 2, ... If it is quantized as a fermion, it has $I = J = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, ...$ The agreement of these results with eq. (4) is hardly likely to be fortuitous.

In the case of three or more flavors, it may still be shown that the quantization of collective coordinates gives the expected flavor quantum numbers of baryons. The analysis is more complicated; the Wess-Zumino interaction plays a crucial role.

So far, we have assumed that the color gauge group is SU(N). Now let us discuss what would happen if the color group were O(N) or Sp(N). (By Sp(N) we will

mean the group of $N \times N$ unitary matrices of quaternions; thus $Sp(1) \simeq SU(2)$.) We will see that also for these gauge groups, the topological properties of the current algebra theory correctly reproduce properties of the underlying gauge theory.

In an O(N) gauge theory, we assume that we have *n* multiplets of left-handed (Weyl) spinors in the fundamental *N*-dimensional representation of O(N). There is no distinction between quarks and antiquarks, because this representation is real. (If *n* is even, the theory is equivalent to a theory of $\frac{1}{2}n$ Dirac multiplets.) The anomaly free flavor symmetry group is SU(n). Simple considerations based on the most attractive channel idea suggest that the flavor symmetry will be spontaneously broken down to O(n), which is the maximal subgroup of SU(n) that permits all fermions to acquire mass. In this case the current algebra theory is based on a field that takes values in the quotient space SU(n)/O(n).

In an Sp(N) gauge theory, we assume the fermion multiplets to be in the fundamental 2N-dimensional representation of Sp(N). Since this representation is pseudoreal, there is again no distinction between quarks and antiquarks. In this theory the number of fermion multiplets must be even; otherwise, the Sp(N) gauge theory is inconsistent because of a non-perturbative anomaly [2] involving $\pi_4(Sp(N))$. If there are 2n multiplets, the flavor symmetry is SU(2n). Simple arguments suggest that the SU(2n) flavor group is spontaneously broken to Sp(n), so that the current algebra theory is based on the quotient space Su(2n)/Sp(n). This corresponds to symmetry breaking in the most attractive channel; Sp(n) is the largest unbroken symmetry that lets all quarks get mass.

In O(N), since there is no distinction between quarks and antiquarks, there is also no distinction between baryons and anti-baryons. A baryon can be formed from an antisymmetric combination of N quarks; $B = \varepsilon_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_N} q^{i_1} q^{i_2} \dots q^{i_N}$. But in O(N), a product of two epsilon symbols can be rewritten as a sum of products of N Kronecker deltas:

$$\varepsilon_{i_1i_2...i_N} \varepsilon_{j_1j_2...j_N} = (\delta_{i_1j_1} \delta_{j_2j_2} \dots \delta_{i_Nj_N} \pm \text{permutations}).$$

This means that in an O(N) gauge theory, two baryons can annihilate into N mesons.

On the other hand, in an Sp(N) gauge theory there are no baryons at all. The group Sp(N) can be defined as the subgroup of SU(2N) that leaves fixed an antisymmetric second rank tensor γ_{ij} . A meson made from two quarks of the same chirality can be described by the two quark operator $\gamma_{ij}q^iq^j$. In Sp(N) the epsilon symbol can be written as a sum of products of N γ 's:

$$\varepsilon_{i_1i_2\dots i_{2_N}} = \left(\gamma_{i_1i_2}\gamma_{i_3i_4\dots}\gamma_{i_{2_{N-1}}i_{2_N}} \pm \text{ permutations}\right).$$

So in an Sp(N) gauge theory, a single would-be baryon can decay to N mesons.

Now let us discuss the physical phenomena that are related to the topological properties of our current algebra spaces SU(n)/O(n) and SU(n)/Sp(n). We recall from ref. (10) that the existence in QCD current algebra with at least three flavors of the Wess-Zumino interaction, with its a priori quantization law, is closely related to the fact that $\pi_5(SU(n)) = Z$, $n \ge 3$. The analogue is that

$$\pi_{5}(\mathrm{SU}(n)/\mathrm{O}(n)) = \mathbb{Z}, \qquad n \ge 3,$$

$$\pi_{5}(\mathrm{SU}(2n)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)) = \mathbb{Z}, \qquad n \ge 2.$$
(5)

So also the O(N) and Sp(N) gauge theories possess at the current algebra level an interaction like the Wess-Zumino term, provided the number of flavors is large enough. Built into the current algebra theories is the fact that in the underlying theory there is a parameter (the number of colors) which a priori must be an integer.

Now we come to the question of the existence of solitons. These are classified by the third homotopy group of the configuration space, and we have

$$\pi_3(\mathrm{SU}(n)/\mathrm{O}(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad n \ge 4,$$

$$\pi_3(\mathrm{SU}(2n)/\mathrm{Sp}(n)) = 0, \quad \text{any } n.$$
(6)

Thus, in the case of an O(N) gauge theory with at least four flavors, the current algebra theory admits solitons, but the number of solitons is conserved only modulo two. This agrees with the fact that in the O(N) gauge theory there are baryons which can annihilate in pairs. In current algebra corresponding to Sp(N) gauge theory there are no solitons, just as the Sp(N) gauge theory has no baryons.

For O(N) gauge theories with less than four light flavors we have

$$\pi_3(SU(3)/O(3)) = Z_4,$$

$$\pi_3(SU(2)/O(2)) = Z.$$
 (7)

Thus, the spectrum of current algebra solitons seems richer than the expected spectrum of baryons in the underlying gauge theory. The following remark seems

	 $\mathcal{O}(n) / \mathcal{O}(n)$	SU(2n)/Sp(n)
$v_2 = 0$	 $\mathbb{Z}_2, n \ge 3$	0

TABLE 1

appropriate in this connection. It is only in the multi-color, large-N limit that a semiclassical description of current algebra solitons becomes accurate. Actually, large-N gauge theories are described by weakly interacting theories of mesons, but it is not only Goldstone bosons that enter; one has an infinite meson spectrum. Corresponding to the rich meson spectrum is an unknown and perhaps topologically complicated configuration space P of the large-N theory. Plausibly, baryons can always be realized as solitons in the large-N theory, even if all or almost all quark flavors are heavy. Perhaps $\pi_3(P)$ is always Z, Z₂, or O for SU(N), O(N), and Sp(N) gauge theories. The Goldstone boson space is only a small subspace of P and would not necessarily reflect the topology of P properly. Our results suggest that as the number of flavors increases, the Goldstone boson space becomes an increasingly good *topological* approximation to P. In this view, the extra solitons suggested by eq. (7) for O(N) gauge theories with two or three flavors become unstable when SU(2)/O(2) or SU(3)/O(3) is embedded in P.

One further physical question will be addressed here. Is color confinement implicit in current algebra?

Do current algebra theories in which the field U labels a point in SU(n), SU(n)/O(n), or SU(2n)/SP(n) admit flux tubes? By a flux tube we mean a configuration U(x, y, z) which is independent of z and possesses a non-trivial topology in the x-y plane. To ensure that the energy per unit length is finite, U must approach a constant as $x, y \to \infty$. The proper topological classification involves therefore the *second* homotopy group of the space in which U takes its values. In fact, we have

$$\pi_{2}(SU(n)) = 0,$$

$$\pi_{2}(SU(n)/O(n)) = Z_{2}, \quad n \ge 3,$$

$$\pi_{2}(SU(2n)/Sp(n)) = 0.$$
(8)

Thus, current algebra theories corresponding to underlying SU(N) and Sp(N) gauge theories do not admit flux tubes. The theories based on underlying O(N) gauge groups do admit flux tubes, but two such flux tubes can annihilate.

These facts have the following natural interpretation. Our current algebra theories correspond to underlying gauge theories with quarks in the fundamental representation of SU(N), O(N), or Sp(N). SU(N) or Sp(N) gauge theories with dynamical quarks cannot support flux tubes because arbitrary external sources can be screened by sources in the fundamental representation of the group. For O(N) gauge theories it is different. An external source in the spinor representation of O(N) cannot be screened by charges in the fundamental representation. But two spinors make a tensor, which can be screened. So the O(N) gauge theory with dynamical quarks supports only one type of color flux tube: the response to an external source in the

spinor representation of O(N). It is very plausible that this color flux tube should be identified with the excitation that appears in current algebra because $\pi_2(SU(n)/O(n)) = Z_2$.

The following fact supports this identification. The interaction between two sources in the spinor representation of O(N) is, in perturbation theory, N times as big as the interaction between two quarks. Defining the large-N limit in such a way that the interaction between two quarks is of order one, the interaction between two spinor charges is therefore of order N. This strongly suggests that the energy per unit length in the flux tube connecting two spinor charges is of order N. This is consistent with our current algebra identification; the whole current algebra effective lagrangian is of order N (since $F_{\pi}^2 \sim N$), so the energy per unit length of a current algebra flux tube is certainly of order N.

In conclusion, it still remains for us to establish the contention made earlier that the value of the Wess-Zumino functional Γ for a process consisting of a 2π rotation of a soliton is $\Gamma = \pi$.

First of all, the soliton field can be chosen to be of the form

$$V(x_i) = \left(\frac{W(x_i) \mid 0}{0 \mid 1}\right),\tag{9}$$

where the SU(2) matrix W is chosen to be invariant under a combined isospin rotation plus rotation of the spatial coordinate x_i . This being so, a 2π rotation of V in space is equivalent to a 2π rotation of V in isospin. Introducing a periodic time coordinate t which runs from 0 to 2π , the desired field in which a soliton is rotated by a 2π angle can be chosen to be

$$U(x_i, t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{it/2} & & \\ & e^{-it/2} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad V(x_i) \begin{pmatrix} e^{-it/2} & & \\ & e^{it/2} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(10)

Note that $U(x_i, t)$ is periodic in t with period 2π even though the individual exponentials $\exp(\pm \frac{1}{2}it)$ have period 4π . Because of the special form of V, we can equivalently write U in the much more convenient form

$$U(x_i, t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & e^{-it} & \\ & & e^{it} \end{pmatrix} \qquad V(x_i) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & e^{it} & \\ & & e^{-it} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (11)

This field $U(x_i, t)$ describes a soliton that is rotated by a 2π angle as t ranges from 0 to 2π . We wish to evaluate $\Gamma(U)$.

E. Witten / Current algebra, baryons and quark confinement

To this end we introduce a fifth parameter ρ ($0 \le \rho \le 1$) so as to form a five-manifold of which space-time is the boundary; this five-manifold will have the topology of three-space times a disc. A convenient choice is to write

$$\tilde{U}(x_i, t, \rho) = A^{-1}(t, \rho) U(x_i, t) A(t, \rho),$$
(12)

where

$$A(t,\rho) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho e^{it} & \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \\ 0 & -\sqrt{1-\rho^2} & \rho e^{-it} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (13)

Note that at $\rho = 0$, $A(t, \rho)$ is independent of t. So we can think of ρ and t as polar coordinates for the plane, ρ being the radius and t the usual angular variable. Also $\tilde{U}(x_i, t, 1) = U(x_i, t)$ so the product of three space with the unit circle in the ρ -t plane can be identified with the original space-time. According to eq. (14) of ref. (10), what we must calculate is

$$\Gamma(U) = -\frac{i}{240\pi^2} \int_0^1 d\rho \int_0^{2\pi} dt \int d^3x \, \epsilon^{ijklm} \\ \times \left[\operatorname{Tr} \tilde{U}^{-1} \partial_i \tilde{U} \, \tilde{U}^{-1} \partial_j \tilde{U} \, \tilde{U}^{-1} \partial_k \tilde{U} \, \tilde{U}^{-1} \partial_i \tilde{U} \, \tilde{U}^{-1} \partial_m \tilde{U} \right], \qquad (14)$$

where *i*, *j*, *k*, *l*, and *m* may be ρ , *t*, x_1 , x_2 , or x_3 . The integral can be done without undue difficulty (the fact that *W* is invariant under spatial rotations plus isospin is very useful), and one finds $\Gamma(U) = \pi$.

This calculation can also be used to fill in a gap in the discussion of ref. (10). In that paper, the following remark was made. Let A(x, y, z, t) be a mapping from space-time into SU(2) that is in the non-trivial homotopy class in $\pi_4(SU(2))$. Embed A in SU(3) in the trivial form

$$\hat{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

Then $\Gamma(\hat{A}) = \pi$. In fact, as we have noted above, the non-trivial homotopy class in $\pi_4(SU(2))$ differs from the trivial class by a 2π rotation of a soliton (which may be one member of a soliton-antisolition pair). The fact that $\Gamma = \pi$ for a 2π rotation of soliton means that $\Gamma = \pi$ for the non-trivial homotopy class in $\pi_4(SU(2))$.

The following important fact deserves to be demonstrated explicitly. As before, let A be a mapping of space-time into SU(2) and let \hat{A} be its embedding in SU(3). Then $\Gamma(\hat{A})$ depends only on the homotopy class of A in $\pi_4(SU(2))$. In fact, suppose \hat{A} is

442



Fig. 2. A demonstration that Γ is a homotopy invariant for SU(2) mappings.

homotopic to \hat{A}' : Let us prove that $\Gamma(\hat{A}) = \Gamma(\hat{A}')$. To compute $\Gamma(\hat{A})$ we realize space-time as the boundary of a disc, extend \hat{A} to be defined over that disc, and evaluate an appropriate integral (fig. 2a). To evaluate $\Gamma(\hat{A}')$ we again must extend \hat{A}' to a disc. This can be done in a very convenient way (fig. 2b). Since \hat{A} is homotopic to \hat{A}' , we first deform \hat{A}' into \hat{A} via matrices of the form $\left(\frac{X \mid 0}{0 \mid 1}\right)$ (matrices that are really SU(2) matrices embedded in SU(3)) and then we extend \hat{A} over a disc as before. The integral contribution to $\Gamma(\hat{A}')$ from part I of fig. 2b vanishes because the fifth rank antisymmetric tensor that enters in defining Γ vanishes when restricted to any SU(2) subgroup of SU(3). The integral in part II of fig. 2b is the same as the integral in fig. 2a, so $\Gamma(\hat{A}) = \Gamma(\hat{A}')$.

The fact that Γ is a homotopy invariant for SU(2) mappings also means that Γ can be used to prove that $\pi_4(SU(2))$ is non-trivial. Since Γ obviously is 0 for the trivial homotopy class in $\pi_4(SU(2))$, while $\Gamma = \pi$ for a process containing a 2π rotation of a soliton, the latter process must represent a non-trivial element in $\pi_4(SU(2))$. What cannot be proved so easily is that this is the only non-trivial element.

I would like to thank A.P. Balachandran and V.P. Nair for interesting me in current algebra solitons.

Note added in proof

Many physicists have asked how the soliton quantum numbers can be calculated if there are three flavors. Following is a sketch of how this question can be answered.

We assume that for $SU(3) \times SU(3)$ current algebra, the soliton solution is simply an SU(2) solution embedded in SU(3). Such a solution is invariant under combined spin-isospin transformations; and it is also invariant under hypercharge rotations. There are now seven collective coordinates instead of three. They parametrize the coset space X = SU(3)/U(1), where U(1) refers to right multiplication by hypercharge. Thus a point in X is an element U of SU(3) defined up to multiplication on the right by a hypercharge transformation. The space X has flavor SU(3) symmetry (left multiplication of U by an SU(3) matrix) and rotation SU(2) symmetry (right multiplication of U by an SU(3) matrix that commutes with hypercharge).

The crucial novelty of the three-flavor problem is that even when restricted to the space of collective coordinates, the Wess-Zumino term does not vanish. As usual, the quantization of collective coordinates involves the quantum mechanics of a particle moving on the manifold X, but in this case, the effect of the Wess-Zumino term is that the particle is moving under the influence of a simulated "magnetic field" on the X manifold. Moreover, this magnetic field is of the Dirac monopole type; it has string singularities which are unobservable if the Wess-Zumino coupling is properly quantized.

The wave functions of the collective coordinates are "monopole harmonics" on the X manifold with quantum numbers that depend on the "magnetic charge." For charge three (three colors) the lowest monopole harmonic is an SU(3) octet of spin $\frac{1}{2}$, and the next one is an SU(3) decuplet of spin $\frac{3}{2}$.

References

- [1] T.H.R. Skyrme, Proc. Roy. Soc. A260 (1961) 127
- [2] D. Finkelstein and J. Rubinstein, J. Math. Phys. 9 (1968) 1762
- [3] L.D. Faddeev, Lett. Math. Phys. 1 (1976) 289
- [4] S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D11 (1975) 2088;
 R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36 (1976) 1116;
 P. Hasenfratz and G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 36 (1976) 1119
- [5] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B72 (1974) 461; B75 (1974) 461
- [6] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B160 (1979) 57
- [7] A.P. Balachandran, V.P. Nair, S.G. Rajeev and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 (1982) 1124; Syracuse University preprint (1982)
- [8] J. Boguta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 148
- [9] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. 37B (1971) 95
- [10] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B223 (1983) 422
- [11] J. Goldstone and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 (1981) 986
- [12] J. Goldstone, private communication

444